

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

RAYMOND GARVIN, )  
)  
Plaintiff, )  
)  
vs. ) Case No.: BC694158  
)  
CITY OF LOS ANGELES; and DOES 1 )  
through 100, Inclusive, )  
)  
Defendants. )  
)

Reported by: Jinna Grace Kim, CSR No. 14151

Job No.: 248883

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

RAYMOND GARVIN,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	Case No.: BC694158
	)	
CITY OF LOS ANGELES; and DOES 1	)	
through 100, Inclusive,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
<hr/>	)	

The deposition of SERGEANT DEANA STARK, taken on behalf of the Plaintiff, at 18801 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 208, Tarzana, California 91356, beginning at 10:03 a.m., and ending at 11:32 a.m., on Thursday, June 27, 2019, before Jinna Grace Kim, Certified Stenographic Shorthand Reporter No. 14151.

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:

2

3 For the Plaintiff:

4 SALUTE LAW

BY: KEVIN SALUTE, ESQ.

5 18801 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 208  
Tarzana, California 90212

6 Tel: 818-777-7894

Fax: 818-777-7895

7 E-mail: kevin@salutelaw.com

8

9 For the Defendants:

10 LOS ANGELES CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

BY: DENNIS KONG, ESQ.

11 200 N. Main Street, 7th Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90012

12 Tel: 213-978-2213

Fax: 213-978-2212

13 E-mail: dennis.kong@lacity.org

14

Also present: Axel DeLeon, Legal Affairs Division; LAPD

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1	INDEX	
2	WITNESS:	PAGE
3	SERGEANT DEANA STARK	
4	BY: MR. SALUTE	5

6	EXHIBITS	
7	(NONE)	

8	QUESTIONS NOT ANSWERED	
9		
10	PAGE	LINE
11	43	1
12	43	9
13	46	8
14	49	8

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1 TARZANA, CALIFORNIA

2 THURSDAY, JUNE 27, 2019

3 10:03 A.M.

4 DEANA STARK,

5 called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiff, having been  
6 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

7 EXAMINATION

8 BY MR. SALUTE:

9 Q. Good morning.

10 A. Good morning.

11 Q. My name is Kevin Salute. I'm an attorney. I  
12 represent Ray Garvin in a lawsuit that he filed against the  
13 City of Los Angeles, and we're here today for your  
14 deposition.

15 The person sitting to your right is a court  
16 reporter. She's going to take down everything that's said by  
17 you, me, or anybody else during the course of today's  
18 proceeding. At the conclusion of the deposition, she's going  
19 to prepare a transcript. It's going to look like a booklet.  
20 It will be question-and-answer form. You'll have the  
21 opportunity to read, review, and sign it under penalty of  
22 perjury.

23 Do you understand that?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. The oath that she's given or administered to you is

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1 the same oath that's given in a court of law, carries the  
2 same solemnity as if you were in a court of law even though  
3 we're in an informal setting.

4 Do you know understand that?

5 A. Yes, I do.

6 Q. I may ask you for certain information like a date or  
7 time of something that may have occurred. You may not recall  
8 or it may be even a conversation that may have occurred. You  
9 may not recall the specific details of that, but I'm entitled  
10 to your best recollection. However, I don't want you to  
11 guess.

12 And the difference between a guess -- I'm not sure,  
13 you know, many people get that confused, but the classic  
14 example that everybody gives is if you were, you know, to  
15 estimate the conference table sitting in front of you, you  
16 would be able to do that, but you wouldn't be able to  
17 estimate the desk in my office because you've never been in  
18 my office.

19 A. Correct. I understand.

20 Q. So I'm just here to get your personal knowledge.

21 I'm not here to have you speculate, and so if I ask  
22 you something, and it may require you to speculate, please  
23 let me know because I'm not interested in speculation.

24 Okay?

25 A. I understand.

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1           Q.    And if I ask a question, and you don't understand  
2   the question, please let me know.  I'll try to rephrase it to  
3   as best I can so that you do understand it, and so that you  
4   can answer the question.

5                    Okay?

6           A.    Yes.

7           Q.    If you do answer a question, I'm going to assume  
8   that you did understand the question.

9                    Okay?

10          A.    Yes.

11          Q.    Let's see.  What else.

12                   The other thing is you're doing a good job of this.  
13   You're waiting for me to finish my question before you  
14   answer.  I appreciate that.  Again, if you picture the  
15   booklet, it's going to be question, answer, and if we talk  
16   over each other, it's going to be question, then something  
17   you said, and then something I said, and then it's not going  
18   to be very clear.

19                    Okay?

20          A.    Yes.

21          Q.    And the last thing I'll just say this, and again,  
22   you're doing a good job of it.  The court reporter can't  
23   really take down nods of the head, shakes of the head,  
24   "uh-huh," "huh-uh," because although I'm sitting in front of  
25   you, I may understand what you mean.  When we go back and

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1 look at the transcript, it's not going to be clear.

2 So when you do answer please answer something  
3 audibly and something substantive in nature.

4 Okay?

5 A. I understand.

6 Q. All right. Is there any reason you cannot give --  
7 proceed with the deposition today?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Is there any reason you cannot give your best  
10 testimony today?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Can you state your full name and spell it for the  
13 record.

14 A. Deana Stark, D-e-a-n-a, S-t-a-r-k.

15 Q. All right. And what is -- you're currently employed  
16 by the City of Los Angeles?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. By the Los Angeles Police Department?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And what is your current rank and assignment?

21 A. I'm currently assigned as a Sergeant II for  
22 Emergency Services Division Bomb K9 Unit.

23 Q. And how long have you held that position?

24 A. Approximately three and a half years.

25 Q. So you came into that unit at approximately 2015?



**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1           A.     Correct.   October of 2015.

2           Q.     And at that time was Ray Garvin the Lieutenant  
3   Officer in charge of the unit?

4           A.     Yes.

5           Q.     And was there a another Sergeant II at that time?

6           A.     Yes.

7           Q.     And was that Randy Goens?

8           A.     Yes.

9           Q.     When you came into the unit, did you supervise a  
10   particular watch?

11          A.     Yes.

12          Q.     What watch did you supervise?

13          A.     Day Watch.

14          Q.     And Day Watch, what are the -- what were the  
15   hours?

16          A.     05 -- 5 o'clock in the morning to 1500 which would  
17   be 3:00 p.m.

18          Q.     And at that time did the officers work 4-10's, or  
19   what was the regular schedule for handlers?

20          A.     The officers worked 4-10, yes.   Correct.

21          Q.     And what about you, what were you working back when  
22   you started at the Bomb K9 Unit?

23          A.     Initially, what they referred to as the 9-17 was  
24   what the shift was when I first got there, and it later  
25   changed to the 4-10.

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1           Q.    What is 9-17?

2           A.    It's a few days more that you work.  They're  
3   nine-hour days as opposed to ten-hour days.  So there is a  
4   couple of extra days that you would normally have off for the  
5   4-10 that you don't with a 9-17.

6           Q.    So does 17 refer to the number of days you worked in  
7   a month?

8           A.    I'm actually not sure, and I think it's also been  
9   referred as to as the 9-80.  I know it equates to working two  
10  more days as opposed to the 4-10 schedule.

11          Q.    Do you know when it changed from the 9-17 schedule  
12  to the 4-10 schedule?

13          A.    I don't recall specifically the actual date or  
14  month, but I want to say it was probably in early 2016.

15                It wasn't too long after I got there.  Maybe --  
16  maybe somewhere between March and the end of summer.

17                I can't remember specifically.

18          Q.    Okay.  Was Garvin still the OIC at that time?

19          A.    Yes.

20          Q.    After it changed to the 4-10 schedule, did it change  
21  again, or it stayed that way through today?

22          A.    It's the same.  It has not changed.

23          Q.    So basically you worked the 9-17 schedule for --  
24  it's like maybe about six months or so?

25          A.    Probably.  I don't know for sure the time, but.

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1 Q. Okay. That sound about right, though?

2 A. About, yes.

3 Q. And when you were -- do you still work the day  
4 schedule, Day Watch --

5 A. No.

6 Q. When did that change?

7 A. When we went to the 4-10 schedule, if there was only  
8 one supervisor to -- that was working for the day between  
9 myself and Lieutenant Garvin or Randy Goens, then we had  
10 agreed that we would work kind of a mid shift to captain both  
11 watches so that there was at least a supervisor covering both  
12 watches.

13 So probably -- I probably worked the Day Watch  
14 mostly, specifically, until the first year, probably the  
15 first year I was there. And then we started doing more mid  
16 days which is what I'm currently on now.

17 Q. Okay. So mid day would be more of like a 11 --

18 A. Around -- yeah. I mean, it varies, but usually  
19 around 10 to 8, 10:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. shift is what I  
20 generally work.

21 Q. And what about the handlers, did they still work  
22 the -- are they still on a Day Watch, Night Watch schedule?

23 A. Yes. They have the same exact schedule that they  
24 always had.

25 Q. And when you started at Bomb K9 Unit, who were the

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1 officers that -- well, were there officers that primarily  
2 worked Day Watch?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. How many officers did you supervise on the Day Watch  
5 schedule?

6 A. Approximately seven.

7 Q. And who were those?

8 A. Melhog was the trainer at the time for a few months,  
9 John Long, John Borquez, Laurie Harrington, Eric Young, I  
10 think Leslie Salinas and Ara Hollenbeck. I think that was it  
11 primarily. There was some adjustments if people wanted to  
12 bump someone to another watch, you know, for a few months,  
13 but I think basically that was mostly the Day Watch.

14 Q. Is it fair to say that there were some officers that  
15 preferred to work Day Watch as opposed to working Night  
16 Watch?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Now, when Ray Garvin was the officer in charge of  
19 the Bomb K9 Unit, do you know what hours he did -- well, did  
20 he have certain hours that he normally would be working?

21 A. He normally worked a Day Watch, but it would vary.  
22 He normally worked probably a 7 o'clock start time, but it  
23 varied from time to time, so around a 7 o'clock start time is  
24 what he usually worked. There were times he would be there  
25 at 5 o'clock for roll call also. So it just depended.

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1           Q.    Is that when there was some special training event  
2   or something that was happening that day or just kind of  
3   random, if you know?

4           A.    No.  I think it just depended on what he had  
5   going.

6           Q.    So if he would -- if -- when he would do a 7 a.m.  
7   start time he would finish around 4:00, 5:00?

8           A.    Yes.  On the 4-10 it would be 5:00 o'clock p.m.  
9                   On the 9-17 he would have finished at 4:00  
10   o'clock.

11          Q.    And were there particular days that he normally  
12   worked?

13          A.    Mostly during the week and occasionally some weekend  
14   days.

15          Q.    Would you, Goens, and Garvin, discuss the scheduling  
16   for upcoming deployments or DP's so that you guys can make  
17   sure that there was supervisory coverage for the upcoming  
18   DP's?

19          A.    Well, we always made sure that there was a  
20   supervisor working.  The three of us we always made sure that  
21   there was one of us there every day to make sure that we had  
22   some supervisory coverage.

23          Q.    Was that something that Garvin had instituted, or  
24   was that something that -- or you don't know where it came  
25   from?

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1           A.    I don't know where it came.  It was just in practice  
2   when I was there, when I started.

3           Q.    But the general practice through the time that he  
4   left Bomb K9 Unit was that he would make sure that there was  
5   some supervisory coverage either he was there or one of the  
6   sergeants was there?

7           A.    Yes.

8           Q.    Did you ever supervise Mark Sauvao?

9           A.    Yes.

10          Q.    When did you supervise Sauvao?

11          A.    He had changed his hours occasionally to work a Mid  
12   Watch, also.  So there were occasions where he would come in  
13   earlier from the p.m. watch when I was mostly Day Watch.  And  
14   then after about a year I went to a p.m. watch, and so I  
15   worked with him a few times, but then he switched to Day  
16   Watch.  So I've supervised him, but not for extended periods  
17   of time.

18          Q.    Did you ever prepare any performance evaluations for  
19   him?

20          A.    Yes.

21          Q.    Do you know how many?

22          A.    No.  Not offhand.

23          Q.    Was it -- is it fair to say that Goens was his  
24   primary supervisor?

25          A.    Yes.  When I first got there, yes.

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1           Q.    Now, at the time that you came on board to the Bomb  
2   K9 Unit, who was the captain?

3                   Do you recall?

4           A.    Yes.

5           Q.    Who was it?

6           A.    It was Roland Solano when I first got hired there.

7           Q.    And do you recall how long he was captain for?

8           A.    I want to say till about February or so of 2016.

9           Q.    And then new captain came in?

10          A.    Yes.

11          Q.    And that was Captain Kathy Meek?

12          A.    Yes.

13          Q.    And after Captain Meek came in, was there any kind  
14   of a, like, a command staff kind of briefing where she  
15   brought supervisors in to discuss what her expectations were,  
16   that kind of thing?

17          A.    No.

18          Q.    Here's what I'm trying to get at.

19                   Many times when there is a transition in leadership,  
20   the new captain or the new lieutenant or whoever it is has  
21   some sort of, like, a transitional meeting to kind of brief  
22   everybody on, you know, hey, I'm the new captain, kind of  
23   thing.

24                   She didn't do anything like that?

25          A.    No.

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1           Q.    Was there any kind of a unit meeting with Captain  
2   Meek shortly after she took over as captain?

3           A.    No.   Not that I recall.

4           Q.    Did you ever have any meetings with Captain Meek  
5   individually after she took over?

6           A.    In relation to her expectations?

7           Q.    No.   Just in general.

8           A.    Yes.   In general, there were some meetings.

9           Q.    Well, did she ever -- maybe I'm -- maybe I wasn't  
10   clear about what I was asking earlier.

11                   Were you ever present when Captain Meek held any  
12   kind of a unit meeting after she became the captain, meaning,  
13   a unit meeting with the Bomb K9 Unit?

14           A.    She had attended some unit meetings on occasion in  
15   general for when we had, like, a training day, and we had  
16   everybody together.   She had been present in a couple of  
17   those.

18           Q.    Did she address anybody, like address the unit?

19           A.    No.   I mean, there was, I think she had addressed  
20   some things pertaining to some of the changes we were  
21   going to make at one point regarding, like, training  
22   notifications and so forth.

23                   But mostly, she never really addressed the unit  
24   about anything specific.

25           Q.    Okay.   Do you recall what -- what was discussed



**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1     about the changes?

2           A.     Not offhand. I know that we made a change to -- at  
3     her direction, on notifying for training. So that was  
4     discussed, I believe, at one of them. Just the new  
5     procedures that she had outlined in place which they had  
6     received an e-mail as well from us.

7           Q.     Okay. How did you become aware that Captain Meek  
8     was going to be the new captain of overseeing Bomb K9 Unit?

9           A.     I don't recall specifically. I think I just heard  
10    it like a rumor, that, you know, that she was replacing  
11    Captain Solano.

12          Q.     Had you ever worked previously with Captain Meek?

13          A.     Not worked with her. I know that she worked at a  
14    division I had been at before, but I don't think we were  
15    there at the same exact time. I can't remember because there  
16    was a time she worked as a supervisor, maybe, in the training  
17    part of Southeast, but I don't recall us actually being there  
18    at the same exact time.

19          Q.     After Captain Meek was transferred in, became the  
20    captain of Bomb K9 Unit, did she ever come to talk to you  
21    about any concerns that you may have had regarding Ray  
22    Garvin?

23          A.     Yes.

24          Q.     Do you recall when that was?

25          A.     Not specific dates. It would have probably been

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1     within the first, probably from February to -- of 2016,  
2     somewhere through that year, but I don't recall the exact  
3     months.

4           Q.     Was that like an in-person kind of a thing?

5           A.     Yes.   She would stop by periodically down at the  
6     office.

7           Q.     Unannounced?

8           A.     Yes.

9           Q.     On one of those occasions she met with you?

10          A.     Yes.

11          Q.     And did she tell you what the purpose for the  
12     meeting was?

13          A.     No.

14          Q.     Do you recall what was discussed with her?

15          A.     In general, sometimes she would just ask how are  
16     things going.   And occasionally, she may inquire, you know,  
17     about something specific that she had heard and ask, you  
18     know, my view on it or my information on it.

19                 Just in general she would stop by.

20          Q.     Do you recall anything that she asked you about?

21                 MR. KONG:   Objection.   Vague as to time.

22                 Go ahead.

23                 THE WITNESS:   I mean, there were different subjects.

24     You know, occasionally, she would ask if she had heard some  
25     things about Lieutenant Garvin and wanted my view if I had

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1     been there or heard some of the same things.

2     BY MR. SALUTE:

3           Q.     Do you recall anything she asked you about that she  
4     supposedly had heard about Garvin?

5           A.     She had asked me at one point -- well, it wasn't  
6     really that she was asking me. She had just told me that she  
7     had heard that he had been angry one day and had been  
8     throwing pens and storming through the halls and cursing.

9           Q.     Did she tell you where she learned that  
10    information?

11          A.     No.

12          Q.     And this was a conversation that took place at the  
13    K9 Unit --

14          A.     K9 --

15          Q.     -- office --

16          A.     Yes. Correct.

17          Q.     Was it just you and her talking?

18          A.     Yes.

19          Q.     Do you remember where in the office this  
20    conversation took place?

21          A.     The sergeant's office.

22          Q.     And what did you tell her in regard to her comment,  
23    if anything?

24          A.     I told her that I had never seen that, and I had no  
25    knowledge of any of that occurring.

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1 Q. Did she say anything in response to your comment?

2 A. No. Just that she had heard it, but she didn't tell  
3 me who, from who.

4 Q. Other than the comment that she made about Garvin  
5 being angry, throwing pens, and cursing, did she tell you she  
6 had heard anything else about Garvin or inquire about any  
7 other things that she had heard about Garvin?

8 That was compound. So let me ask it this way.

9 Did -- other than that comment about Garvin being  
10 angry, throwing pens, and cursing, did she make any other  
11 comments about anything else that she had heard about  
12 Garvin's conduct?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. What else?

15 A. She had relayed at one point that she had heard  
16 that -- that he wasn't allowing Randy and I to be supervisors  
17 and do supervisory work. Namely, she was talking about  
18 reviewing and tracking the recap, the logs, the daily field  
19 activity reports that the handlers filled out on a daily  
20 basis.

21 Q. Was this a comment she made at the same time as the  
22 earlier comment you mentioned, or is this at a different  
23 time?

24 A. It was at a different time.

25 Q. And where did this comment take place?

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1           Again, at the office?

2       A.    Yes.

3       Q.    In the sergeant's office?

4       A.    Yes.

5       Q.    And was it just you and her?

6       A.    Yes.

7       Q.    Was this around early 2016, again?

8       A.    Yeah.  Somewhere within that year from February to  
9   probably the end of, maybe, February -- I mean, September,  
10   October.

11           I can't recall the exact months.

12       Q.    All right.  And did you respond to her in relation  
13   to that comment about Garvin not allowing you and Randy to be  
14   supervisors?

15       A.    Yes.

16       Q.    And what did you say to her?

17       A.    I said it wasn't correct; that I didn't have that  
18   same view; the admin responsibilities we're able to do, but  
19   not having to be dragged down with that every day allows me  
20   to be out in the field with the handlers which I think is an  
21   important thing.

22           So I didn't have the view that he wasn't allowing us  
23   to be supervisors by doing the recap.

24       Q.    Who was doing the recaps?

25       A.    Lieutenant Garvin.

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1 Q. Is that a time-consuming task?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. So it was your view that by Garvin doing the recaps,  
4 that was freeing you up to be more hands-on with the handlers  
5 in terms of supervision?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. When you say that it's time-consuming, what are we  
8 talking about?

9 Hours? Minutes?

10 A. It could, depending on how many days' worth, you  
11 know, if you stay on top of it and try to do it everyday, but  
12 sometimes you're waiting for logs to be turned in, but it  
13 could take a couple hours to do. You meticulously have to go  
14 through each log and track a variety of things.

15 Q. And are these recaps done on a weekly basis?

16 On a DP basis?

17 A. We try to do them daily, but you know, there are  
18 times where, you know, like currently now, I'm off. So when  
19 I come back I might have a weeks' worth to do. I might only  
20 have two days' worth to do. So it just depends on how  
21 current you stay on top of it, how often you're able to do  
22 them.

23 Q. And in terms of doing the recaps, was it your  
24 understanding that Garvin was doing the recaps for both  
25 officers that you supervised and the officers that Goens

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1 supervised?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. So basically he was doing it for the entire unit?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. But the bottom line is you saw it as a benefit  
6 rather than a detriment that he was going it?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Other than the two comments that you mentioned, were  
9 there any other comments that Meek had made to you regarding  
10 Garvin?

11 A. There were other conversations. I just don't recall  
12 everything specifically. There was a comment that was a  
13 telephone call in regards to a training event that we were  
14 putting together to acclimate the K9's helicopters, and we  
15 had a bomb tech that had contacts with the fire department  
16 and air support arranging that. And so I was working with  
17 him to schedule all of that and coordinate dates and so  
18 forth. And she had called me and told me that she had found  
19 out that we were doing it which is not a secret. We normally  
20 let them know, but we were still in the planning stages of  
21 it, and it had already been done prior before I got there,  
22 and it had just been done prior for the city teams. But she  
23 indicated that we needed to not do that first. We needed to  
24 do what she called was "Direct Action Team Training" by SWAT;  
25 and that she wanted me to do that beforehand.

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1           And you know, I had asked why is that necessary, and  
2   she felt that that should be the training that preceded this,  
3   and she had indicated that she had heard Garvin made some  
4   comments to other -- I don't know who was present, if it was  
5   to other handlers, or when other bomb techs were present in  
6   roll call, that something to the effect that he'll schedule  
7   his own training days, like, nobody's going to tell him what  
8   he can and can't schedule. And I told him I didn't hear  
9   that, and I was not aware of that occurring.

10           So it was just based off of, obviously, some  
11   information that was coming to her from other people that are  
12   not -- I don't know who they were.

13       Q.   And this training event regarding helicopters, do  
14   you recall when that was in the planning stages?

15       A.   It probably -- I don't recall the exact month.

16           I -- we've had so many training days. I would have  
17   to look at our training plans to see exactly when that was.

18       Q.   As you sit here today, would it be your best  
19   estimate that it occurred sometime in early 2016, mid 2016,  
20   or after that?

21       A.   It might have even been later 2016, early 2017.

22       Q.   Okay. So we've got three comments that you recall  
23   that Captain Meek made regarding Garvin.

24           Any other comments that you recall that she had made  
25   to you or discussed with you regarding Garvin?



**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1           A.    Not that I recall specifically.

2           Q.    Did you hear from anybody within the unit, meaning,  
3 Bomb K9 Unit, that Captain Meek had talked to them about  
4 Garvin and his supervision of the unit?

5           A.    Can you repeat that question again?

6           Q.    Yeah.  Here's what I'm trying to get at.

7                   I just want to find out whether you became aware  
8 that Captain Meek had talked to other people within the unit  
9 about Garvin.

10                   So my question was:  Did anybody tell you that  
11 Captain Meek had talked to them about Garvin?

12          A.    Yes.

13          Q.    Who talked -- who told you that Meek had talked to  
14 them about Garvin?

15          A.    Ara Hollenbeck.

16          Q.    Who else?

17          A.    Eric Young -- that's all I can recall specifically.

18                   I think just throughout the time that I'd been  
19 there, there had probably been other conversations, but  
20 specifically that -- those two.

21          Q.    Okay.  And when did you talk to Hollenbeck about  
22 Meek talking to him about Garvin?

23          A.    It probably would have been, you know, late 2016,  
24 early 2017, around that time.

25          Q.    And what did Hollenbeck tell you about that?

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1           A.    He had met with her to discuss some of the issues  
2   that were going on in the unit pertaining to another handler,  
3   and as he was trying to discuss that, he just mentioned that  
4   Captain Meek really just kept asking questions about  
5   Lieutenant Garvin.

6           Q.    Did he tell you what types of things she was asking  
7   about?

8           A.    I think she was looking for negative things, but I  
9   don't recall exactly. I think it was more in a general  
10   sense, if he had any knowledge.

11          Q.    I don't need to know the exact words if you don't  
12   recall them, but do you recall as you sit here today as best  
13   you can what Hollenbeck told you Meek was inquiring about?

14          A.    Not -- he didn't say specifically.

15                He just indicated that, you know, he had been trying  
16   to talk to her about another matter concerning him, and he  
17   felt that it was the -- what she was really focusing on is  
18   trying to get information about Lieutenant Garvin, which was  
19   not part of his -- what he was trying to address with her.

20          Q.    But whatever it was, you felt that he was conveying  
21   to you that Meek was sort of fishing for negative comments  
22   about Garvin?

23                MR. KONG:  Objection.  Speculation.  Foundation.

24                You can answer if you know.

25                THE WITNESS:  I mean, yes.  I don't know for sure,

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1 but you know, but that's -- I think -- I think it was looking  
2 for some type of negative comments or if there was any  
3 information that she needed to have.

4 BY MR. SALUTE:

5 Q. I'm just trying to get to the gist of what  
6 Hollenbeck was conveying to you.

7 Is that kind of what --

8 A. Yes. He didn't have specifics. He just said in a  
9 general sense that she was asking him if he had any  
10 information about the Lieutenant. I don't know how it was  
11 phrased. I don't know if she asked specifically about any  
12 incidents. It seemed that he was relaying that he felt like  
13 she wanted to know do you have anything to offer me or give  
14 me about.

15 But I don't know the specific -- he didn't say the  
16 specific actual words that were discussed.

17 Q. And did Hollenbeck convey these thoughts to you in  
18 one conversation? More than one conversation?

19 A. Just the one conversation regarding the meeting that  
20 he had with her.

21 Q. And where did you guys talk about this?

22 A. I don't recall if it was on the phone or if it was  
23 at the K9 office. I had many conversation with Hollenbeck  
24 relating to other things going on in the unit. So I don't  
25 recall specifically where I was or if it was in-person or

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1 over the phone.

2 Q. Fair enough. And then you mentioned that Young --  
3 Eric Young?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. He was another person who had told you that Meek had  
6 inquired with him about Garvin?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And what do you recall Young telling you about  
9 that?

10 A. He didn't say anything specific either.

11 So I don't know the exact conversation that  
12 occurred, but somewhere in the general sense that she had met  
13 with him at the airport and had inquired about the  
14 Lieutenant.

15 But I don't know exactly what she had asked him.

16 Q. And do you recall where you and Young discussed this  
17 issue?

18 A. Not specifically. Probably would have been at the  
19 K9 office in the front or in the back.

20 Q. All right.

21 A. Of the office.

22 Q. What makes you recall, or how do you recall that  
23 Hollenbeck and Young had discussed these things with you?

24 A. Hollenbeck had told me that initially because he was  
25 going through a lot of problems within the unit, and so he

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1    was frustrated with how things were going pertaining to, you  
2    know, some things going on. And I think he was relaying to  
3    me that he felt frustrated that as he is trying to discuss  
4    some of these problems, that the Captain's focus wasn't  
5    really on that, in his opinion, is what he was relaying to  
6    me; that it was on Garvin. So with Young, I believe I found  
7    out later. It wasn't right away. Eric didn't report that to  
8    me right away when it happened. It was sometime later that  
9    he had said, well, Captain Meek had come down and asked him  
10   some question.

11       Q.    Do you recall how that came up with Young?

12       A.    It was after the Lieutenant was removed from the  
13   unit.

14       Q.    So you guys were talking about that whole thing  
15   about how or why he was removed?

16       A.    Yeah. That is some of the questions that had come  
17   up within the unit by some handlers.

18       Q.    And at that time were you the -- or was Young  
19   working your watch?

20       A.    Primarily, he was on Day Watch. There had been a  
21   few times where he had been bumped to p.m.'s for three DP's.  
22   So I don't exactly recall if it was -- I believe it was  
23   during Day Watch when this occurred, not a p.m. watch  
24   shift.

25       Q.    I want to ask you some things that the City has

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1 filed a motion for summary judgment in Garvin's case, and  
2 Captain Meek had submitted a declaration in which she made  
3 certain statements, and I'm going to ask you about some of  
4 the statements that she had made.

5 Okay?

6 A. Okay.

7 Q. One of the statements that she said was, "I heard  
8 from several of Gardin's --" I'm sorry. Let me restart it.

9 "I heard from several of Garvin's subordinates that  
10 he often used profanity and abusive language while at work  
11 and bullied his employees."

12 Had you ever observed Garvin use any profanity in  
13 the workplace?

14 A. No.

15 Q. Have you ever observed Garvin using abusive language  
16 while at work?

17 A. No.

18 Q. Have you ever observed Garvin bullying his  
19 employees?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Did Garvin ever bully you?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Did you ever hear from any of the handlers that you  
24 supervised that Garvin did any of those things?

25 A. No.

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1           Q.    She also states in the declaration that, "I also  
2   heard that Garvin was not a visible supervisor."

3                   In your time working with Garvin, was he a visible  
4   supervisor?

5           A.    Yes.

6                   MR. KONG:  Objection.  Speculation.

7                   Go ahead.

8                   THE WITNESS:  Yes.  When I was at work he was  
9   visible.

10   BY MR. SALUTE:

11           Q.    And just so the record is clear, when you're saying  
12   that he was a visible supervisor, what do you mean by that?

13           A.    Present at the airport.

14                   There were some times where he would start his watch  
15   down at our other facility, our main facility at ESD,  
16   Emergency Services Division.  He would stop off there because  
17   that's where our admin gets dropped off, picked up.  Our  
18   projects and overtime slips and everything goes down to that  
19   place.  So he would do a lot of runs on his way to work and  
20   away from work.  So he might stop off there first and be gone  
21   there, but I don't -- he was always there when I was  
22   working.

23           Q.    She next states that, "Garvin did not make himself  
24   available or accessible to his subordinates out in the field  
25   to lend his supervision, assistance, or guidance, opting to

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1     remain in the LAX office."

2                 So the first question to you is: During the time  
3     that you worked with Garvin, did he make himself available or  
4     accessible to you?

5             A.    Yes.

6             Q.    Did he make himself available and accessible to the  
7     handlers, as far as you know?

8             A.    Yes.

9             Q.    Did he make himself -- well, did he ever go out into  
10    the field to lend his supervision?

11            A.    Occasionally.

12            Q.    Did you feel that he should have gone out more?

13            A.    No.

14            Q.    Did he offer his assistance to you?

15            A.    Yes.

16                 MR. KONG:  Objection.  Vague.

17                 Go ahead.

18                 MR. SALUTE:  Okay.  That's a fair objection.

19  BY MR. SALUTE:

20            Q.    Here -- I'm just trying to understand whether you --  
21    that this statement here, whether you believe is true or not.

22                 So let me go back over it.  Did he -- let me state  
23    it this way.

24                 Did he make himself available or accessible to his  
25    subordinates out in the field to lend his supervision,



**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1 assistance, or guidance?

2 A. I believe he was always accessible.

3 He was there if that needed -- was he out in the  
4 field that much? No.

5 He was mostly in the office doing the administrative  
6 work which there is quite a bit of, but occasionally, he  
7 would go out into the field, but that's what my job and  
8 Randy's job was, to be out in the field.

9 So he wasn't always out there, but I don't think it  
10 was necessarily that he wasn't available.

11 Q. Okay. Did you believe that his working in the  
12 office caused the Bomb Detection K9 Unit to suffer from low  
13 morale?

14 MR. KONG: Objection. Speculation.

15 You can answer if you know.

16 THE WITNESS: No. I have no idea if that affected  
17 anybody.

18 I never heard that.

19 BY MR. SALUTE:

20 Q. Did it cause you to suffer from low morale that he  
21 was not out in the field more?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Did you hear from anybody within the unit that they  
24 were suffering from low morale because he was not out in the  
25 field more?

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1           A.     No.

2           Q.     During the time that Garvin was in the Bomb K9 Unit  
3     and you were the Sergeant II, did you ever hear from anybody  
4     that they suffered from low morale as a result from anything  
5     that Garvin did or did not do as a supervisor?

6           A.     Not low morale. I mean, there -- some people had --  
7     didn't care for some of the things that he did, but I never  
8     heard of it in the sense of low morale.

9           Q.     Okay. Who did you hear from that said that they  
10    didn't care for some of the things that Garvin did?

11          A.     Laurie Harrington -- I don't recall the specifics.  
12                 I just -- or the specific handlers, and a lot of  
13    what was relayed was things that had occurred prior to me  
14    getting there. So it wasn't specifically that it was during  
15    that time frame, but obviously, there had been a history that  
16    had lead up to that.

17          Q.     Let me ask it this way.

18                 What did Harrington convey to you in terms of what  
19    her issues were with Garvin?

20          A.     Mostly, I think just in general there was an issue  
21    with the e-mails that Lieutenant Garvin would send out.

22                 He would send out quite a few. You know, basically,  
23    providing expectations and directions on things. In this  
24    specific instance, it had to do with the station fund report.  
25    Lieutenant Garvin was reviewing the station fund reports for

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1 Bomb K9, and Laurie Harrington was a station fund committee  
2 member, and she was really responsible for the checkbook and  
3 doing the disbursements and gathering the receipts and so  
4 forth.

5 And they're required to put together these monthly  
6 reports for the station fund. We have to track everything  
7 that we do, everything that we disperse, everything that  
8 comes in, and we have to submit these reports to the  
9 commanding officer monthly. That's a requirement for the  
10 station fund.

11 Q. Is that like an expense report --

12 A. Basically, yes. It's a monthly expense report.

13 Q. Is it done on Excel or --

14 A. No. There is a LAPD form for it, and it's filled  
15 out each month, and it gets submitted to the commanding  
16 officer of the division, and they review it, sign it, and  
17 then we retain copies of everything.

18 So it includes bank statements, any receipts, and so  
19 forth.

20 Q. What is a station fund?

21 A. Station fund is set up based in a unit or unit  
22 division. It's basically a fund that can be used for a  
23 variety of things where oftentimes officers may submit dues.  
24 They may pay dues to build up the balance, and they will use  
25 the station fund for plaques for retirement, special

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1     luncheons. It could be for sending flowers, you know, when  
2     there is a funeral service for an officer, for someone in  
3     their family. Primary, that's what we use it for in Bomb K9,  
4     is we send flowers or things like that or somebody's off  
5     injured, we might send them some flowers or something.

6             So it's basically a fund and, it's, you know, we can  
7     sell merchandise to build up that fund. Some stations do  
8     snack bars where they have, you know, have a never-ending  
9     snacks that build up their station funds. We don't do snacks  
10    where we're at.

11            But Laurie -- there was -- back at that time there  
12    was probably three or four committee members that are on the  
13    station fund, and Garvin had sent an e-mail out to them  
14    indicating because they weren't keeping up on the receipts.  
15    They weren't having paper receipts to accommodate the  
16    transactions that they were reporting. And his e-mail had  
17    addressed that, you know, they needed to make sure that they  
18    had the receipts, but he went on to state in there that, you  
19    know, officers have been arrested for misappropriation of  
20    funds, and you know -- and the idea -- I know that he was  
21    just trying to make sure that they abide by the rules and had  
22    adequate tracking and that it's very important dealing with  
23    money; that that was important.

24            But I think his delivery in the e-mail had no  
25    personality to it whatsoever, and I think it was offensive

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1   because they felt like, oh, like, I'm not doing anything  
2   wrong, you know, and there might have been a better way of  
3   explaining that. But at the time she did not want to be a  
4   part of the station. She wanted to just give the station  
5   fund up at that point because that was one of her  
6   contentions, is that she didn't want to deal with Lieutenant  
7   Garvin and his many e-mails, and that she felt -- I think she  
8   felt offended by it.

9           And so and she had just relayed this as like similar  
10   to what I think that he's done in the time that he's been  
11   there, that his e-mails can be a little abrasive and maybe  
12   rub people the wrong way. And so in this instance, I had  
13   said, "Well, what if I handle the station fund, how about you  
14   guys deal with me, and we'll move forward, and we'll continue  
15   the station fund. I'll talk to Lieutenant Garvin, and I'll  
16   do the reports, and I'll complete them." Because he would do  
17   the reports at the end of the month. I'm pretty sure he did  
18   them all. So ultimately, Garvin approved that. He said,  
19   "Yeah. No problem. Have it and go ahead."

20           And I have been doing it ever since.

21           So now I just deal with the officers on that.

22       Q.   Now, you had said -- made a comment that some of the  
23   e-mails that Garvin sent out to the unit -- I'm assuming to  
24   the unit, were somewhat abrasive?

25       A.   Yes.

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1           Q.    Are you saying you found them to be abrasive or that  
2   other officers within the unit had told you that they found  
3   them to be abrasive or both?

4           A.    I don't think they used the word "abrasive."

5                   I'm just describing them from what I could see, but  
6   I know that handlers had reported over time that they did not  
7   like the way the e-mails came out.  And there were some that,  
8   you know, that I saw too, that you know, I might have worded  
9   them a little different, you know, but you know, each person  
10  has a different way of delivering messages, and that was his  
11  style.

12          Q.    When you came into the unit in -- did you say  
13  October, 2015?

14          A.    Yes.

15          Q.    Did you see anything that lead you to believe that  
16  the unit was suffering from low morale as a result of those  
17  e-mails, communications, that Garvin had been sending out?

18                   MR. KONG:  Objection.  Speculation.  Foundation.

19                   You can answer if you know.

20                   THE WITNESS:  I wouldn't know about the morale.

21                   I mean, it didn't appear to me that morale was  
22  affected by it; just that people had some heart burn with it,  
23  you know.  They didn't care for it as much.

24  BY MR. SALUTE:

25          Q.    And this issue that came up with Harrington and the

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1 station fund, when was that?

2 Do you recall?

3 A. Shortly after I got there.

4 I don't recall the exact month, but it probably was  
5 within six months of me getting there.

6 Q. Is that around when you took over the station  
7 fund?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And after you took over the station fund, did Garvin  
10 send out any other e-mails regarding that issue?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Did Harrington complain to you after that one time  
13 about the station fund that she was offended by any other  
14 e-mails that Garvin had sent out?

15 A. Not that I recall.

16 Q. And when we're talking about that she was offended  
17 by the e-mail, are we -- we're just talking about the fact  
18 that she found it to be somewhat abrasive?

19 Is that what we're talking about?

20 A. I would call it abrasive. I don't know if she would  
21 use the same verbiage. I think her response to me made me  
22 feel that she was offended by it because he had indicated,  
23 you know, that people had been in trouble for, you know,  
24 misappropriating funds, and I think she felt that either he  
25 was referencing that -- suggesting that they were doing that,

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1 or -- and I think that him adding that in there, that extra  
2 part, made her feel probably defensive about it.

3 But she didn't use those specific words. She just  
4 was not happy that it said that, and she said, "I'm done with  
5 the station fund then. I'm not going to do it. We won't  
6 have one."

7 And that's when I talked to her about okay, well,  
8 why don't we just see if I could review the reports. He --  
9 you know, and I did explain he has an obligation to make sure  
10 that stuff is tracked appropriately. That is true, you know.  
11 And I know that he was probably trying to educate them that  
12 these are the severe things that can happen in this. We're  
13 dealing with money, and you know, these can't happen,  
14 problems in the department in the past.

15 Did he have to use that part? Probably not.

16 He probably could have communicated it a little bit  
17 without throwing that in there, but I think that was his  
18 opinion.

19 I don't know for sure, but -- so.

20 Q. Okay. And now, were you on that e-mail chain, or  
21 she just communicated to you what was said in the e-mail?

22 A. No. I saw the e-mail, but I don't remember.

23 I believe I was on the e-mail chain. I can't  
24 remember if she just gave it to me or if I was actually  
25 received it as well.



**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1           Q.    Do you recall who the e-mail was sent to?

2           A.    I don't know if it was to the entire unit or just to  
3   the committee, to the station fund committee.

4           Q.    But it was your belief that she was conveying to you  
5   that she felt somewhat offended by the comment in the e-mail  
6   because she felt it accusatory; is that fair to say?

7           A.    Yeah. I think that's the way she probably took  
8   it.

9           Q.    And when you read the e-mail did you believe there  
10   was anything offensive in the e-mail, per se?

11          A.    I don't think he was being accusatory. I didn't see  
12   it that way, but I didn't see the need to -- I think the  
13   delivery part of it, it's -- you know, when you just -- you  
14   could have said, you know, it's important to track these  
15   things because people have had some issues in the past and  
16   better accounting helps us protect ourselves. That could be  
17   the same way as what he was trying to say of people have  
18   been -- officers have been arrested for not appropriately  
19   tracking money, you know. You're basically saying the same  
20   thing, but one is a little bit easier to digest and maybe not  
21   quite as, like, oh, you know, it's like, I can understand  
22   that.

23                    So I think that's really what the difference was.

24                    I think he was just trying to put out the correct  
25   information. It's important. But I think the way that the

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1 e-mail read, I could see where that part probably didn't need  
2 to be in there, or it could have been communicated a little  
3 bit softer in the sense of where it didn't come out sounding  
4 like they may feel accused of not tracking things  
5 appropriately --

6 Q. Did you ever talk to Garvin about how Harrington  
7 felt about that e-mail?

8 A. No. I just asked him if he would not mind if I  
9 would just handle the station fund from --

10 Q. Do you know -- sorry.

11 Do you know whether she talked to Garvin about how  
12 she felt about receiving that e-mail?

13 A. I don't know if she did or not.

14 Q. So you don't know if Garvin was saying what he said  
15 in the e-mail as a way of possibly protecting her as well?

16 In other words, so that she wouldn't be accused of  
17 this later on if you provide the reports in a timely  
18 manner?

19 MR. KONG: Objection. Speculation. Foundation.

20 MR. SALUTE: That's true. I'll withdraw that.

21 Why don't we take a five-minute break.

22 Okay?

23 (Recess taken.)

24 MR. SALUTE: We're back on the record.

25 BY MR. SALUTE:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

████████████████████████████████████████

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

1

20 BY MR. SALUTE:

21 Q. Okay. Fair enough.

22 Then that will cut this short.

23 So let me see if there is anything else before we  
24 conclude for today.

25 I know what was I going to ask you.

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1           Now, at some point a trainer position was -- became  
2   available within the Bomb Detection K9 Unit in or about early  
3   to mid 2016.

4           Do you recall that?

5       A.   Yes.

6       Q.   And were you involved in the selection of the new  
7   trainer?

8       A.   Yes.

9       Q.   And what was your involvement in that?

10      A.   I sat on the interview, oral interview board, and  
11   provided my insight and opinion onto the selection of the  
12   trainer to the OIC, Lieutenant Garvin.

13      Q.   As I understand it, Sergeant Goens was one of the  
14   other individuals that sat on the interview board?

15      A.   Yes.

16      Q.   And -- well, let me ask it this way.

17           Was it just the three of you?

18           You, Goens, and Garvin?

19      A.   It was the three of us on one day. It went over  
20   several days or couple days, and then the board got mixed.

21           I was there -- oh, no. For the trainers, yes.

22           I'm sorry. I was getting confused because we also  
23   had a handlers selection.

24           Yes. It was just the three of us for the trainer  
25   position, yes.



1 [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

3 BY MR. SALUTE:

4 Q. Okay. Sergeant Stark, you have been with LAPD for  
5 how long?

6 A. 27 years, almost 28 this summer.

7 Q. And are you familiar with what a team's report is?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And what is a team's report?

10 A. It's a report that is compiled of your entire work  
11 history with the department that includes assignments, rank  
12 and pay grades that you've -- accommodations, complaints,  
13 pursuits that you have been involved in, use of forces,  
14 outside employment permits. It's a compilation of a lot of  
15 items pertaining to your own personal work history.

16 Q. And are jobs that officers have applied and  
17 interviewed for, are those listed on a team's report?

18 A. Not applied for, no.

19 Only that they held.

20 Q. Just positions that they have held; correct?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And so that information regarding jobs that they  
23 have applied and interviewed for is, just to be clear, is not  
24 contained on a team's report; right?

25 A. That's correct.

1

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]



1

Category	Percentage
1	100%
2	100%
3	100%
4	100%
5	100%
6	100%
7	100%
8	100%
9	100%
10	100%
11	100%
12	100%
13	100%
14	100%
15	100%
16	100%
17	100%
18	100%
19	100%
20	100%
21	100%
22	100%
23	100%
24	100%
25	100%
26	100%
27	100%
28	100%
29	100%
30	100%
31	100%
32	100%
33	100%
34	100%
35	100%
36	100%
37	100%
38	100%
39	100%
40	100%
41	100%
42	100%
43	100%
44	100%
45	100%
46	100%
47	100%
48	100%
49	100%
50	100%
51	100%
52	100%
53	100%
54	100%
55	100%
56	100%
57	100%
58	100%
59	100%
60	100%
61	100%
62	100%
63	100%
64	100%
65	100%
66	100%
67	100%
68	100%
69	100%
70	100%
71	100%
72	100%
73	100%
74	100%
75	100%
76	100%
77	100%
78	100%
79	100%
80	100%
81	100%
82	100%
83	100%
84	100%
85	100%
86	100%
87	100%
88	100%
89	100%
90	100%
91	100%
92	100%
93	100%
94	100%
95	100%
96	100%
97	100%
98	100%
99	100%
100	100%

1

2 BY MR. SALUTE:

3 Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that at some point there  
4 was some agreement between you and Garvin and Goens about who  
5 would be selected for the trainer position in 2016?

6 MR. KONG: Objection. Vague. Foundation.

7 You can answer if you understand the question.

8 THE WITNESS: Yes.

9 BY MR. SALUTE:

10 Q. Okay. Well, after the interview process was there  
11 some kind of a roundtable discussion about the applicants for  
12 the position?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And did you come to some kind of consensus as to who  
15 the three of you felt was the best candidate for the  
16 position?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And who was selected for the trainer position?

19 A. Ara Hollenbeck.

20 Q. And after Hollenbeck was selected, did you hear from  
21 anybody about the selection in terms of that they did not  
22 agree with the selection?

23 MR. KONG: Objection. Vague.

24 To the extent -- I'm just going to advise that the  
25 witness not to disclose the names of any applicants or

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1 candidates.

2 So just offering that with the instruction based  
3 on -- instructing to respond.

4 THE WITNESS: After the selection was made, I did  
5 not hear anybody come to me directly to say that they had  
6 issues.

7 BY MR. SALUTE:

8 Q. Did Sauvao, Mark Sauvao, he was one of the trainers  
9 in 2016?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. In fact, he was -- there were two trainers at the  
12 time; right?

13 A. Yes. And then one left --

14 Q. Right --

15 A. -- so vacated a position.

16 Q. Right. So Hollenbeck took the vacated position;  
17 right?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And who was the person that was in that position  
20 before I forget?

21 A. Melhog.

22 Q. That's right. So at the time that the position  
23 became vacated, Melhog left, and Sauvao was the other  
24 trainer; right?

25 A. Yes.

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1           Q.    And then Hollenbeck filled the vacant spot, and then  
2   it just became Sauvao and Hollenbeck as the trainers;  
3   right?

4           A.    Yes.

5           Q.    And did Sauvao ever express to you that he disagreed  
6   with the selection of Hollenbeck as the other trainer?

7           A.    Not after the selection.  Prior to the selection.

8           Q.    Okay.  When did that occur?

9           A.    Maybe a couple weeks or so before the selection was  
10  being made.

11          Q.    And what did he say?

12               MR. KONG:  Again, just going to warn the witness not  
13  to disclose any identities of potential applicants or any  
14  information having to do with any of the Pitchess records.

15               Go ahead.

16  BY MR. SALUTE:

17          Q.    Well, hold on a second before you get there.

18               At the time that Mark Sauvao started talking to you  
19  about -- well, did he start talking to you about who he  
20  believe should be selected for the vacant trainer position?

21          A.    I asked him his opinion.

22          Q.    At the time that you asked him his opinion, had  
23  people applied for that position yet?

24          A.    I believe so.

25          Q.    Okay.  So this was after the position had been

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1 published and put out for selection process?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Fair enough. So okay.

4 Then what did he express to you about who -- well,  
5 let me withdraw that.

6 What did he express to you about the trainer  
7 position?

8 MR. KONG: Objection. Vague.

9 You can answer if you understand.

10 THE WITNESS: It was very short. I just asked him  
11 his opinion on who he feels would be an appropriate or would  
12 be a good candidate, and he replied with somebody.

13 And that was basically it.

14 BY MR. SALUTE:

15 Q. Okay. After Hollenbeck was selected for the  
16 position, did he express to you any kind of disappointment in  
17 the fact that Hollenbeck had been selected instead of others  
18 that he felt might be more appropriate?

19 A. Not to me, no.

20 Q. Did you hear from anybody else that he had expressed  
21 his disappointment about the selection?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Who did you hear it from?

24 A. Captain Meek.

25 Q. What did she say?

**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1           A.    She had indicated that he had called her and had  
2   said that he was not -- I don't know the exact words, but  
3   obviously, wasn't pleased with the selection or didn't agree  
4   with the selection.

5           Q.    Say he was angry about it?

6           A.    I don't know.

7           Q.    So just so I understand -- well, let me ask it this  
8   way.

9                   How did -- how was it communicated from Meek that  
10   Sauvao was disappointed or upset in some way about the  
11   selection of the trainer?

12                  She called you?

13           A.    No.  It was -- I believe it was that she was on  
14   speaker phone with myself and Lieutenant Garvin relating to  
15   another matter and it came up that she said that he had  
16   called her after the selection to express his opinion about  
17   it.

18           Q.    Were you surprised to hear that?

19           A.    Yes.

20           Q.    Why was that?

21           A.    Just to call the captain directly and not come to  
22   the -- at least to myself or Lieutenant Garvin, and I'm  
23   assuming that that did not occur.

24                  It was a little weird to me.

25           Q.    Because he sort of circumvented the chain of



**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1                   MR. SALUTE:   So you want to do the same stip as  
2   yesterday?

3                   MR. KONG:   That's fine.

4                   MR. SALUTE:   Okay.   So same stip.

5                   (Deposition proceeding concluded at 11:32 a.m.)

6                                   \*   \*   \*

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



**RAYMOND GARVIN vs CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.**  
**Sergeant Deana Stark on 06/27/2019**

1                                   DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY

2

3   Case Name:   Raymond Garvin vs. City of Los Angeles

4   Date of Deposition:   June 27, 2019

5   Job No.:   248883

6

7                                   I, \_\_\_\_\_, hereby certify

8   under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of

9   California that the foregoing is true and correct.

10                   Executed this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_,

11   20\_\_\_\_, at \_\_\_\_\_, California.

12

13

14

15

16

17

\_\_\_\_\_  
DEANA STARK

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25